

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,308	06/27/2003	David T. Campbell	MS1-1562US	8029	
22801	7590 10/16/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC			FIGUEROA, MARISOL		
SPOKANE,	RSIDE AVENUE SUI' WA 99201	TE 500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2617		

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

• >

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/609,308	CAMPBELL, DAVID T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marisol Figueroa	2617		

D. S Also Ellins of A I.D. ' S.	10/009,308	CAIVIPBELL, DAVID	1.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marisol Figueroa	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS	·				
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
I. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.  The affidavit or other evidence is natural. As a pullbactic.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).		
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
		///	•		
		LESTER G. KINCAL	D YAMINER		

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 10/609,308

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The newly proposed amendments to claim 26 directed to the features of "wherein the communication networks are located independent of locating the wireless communication device" alter the scope of the invention previously examined and searched. Such amendments would require further consideration of the prior art of record a AND/OR inherently requires a new search.